PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Го:				•	DOT				
				*	PUI	•			
•			•	WOIT	TEN OPINION OF THE	,			
	see form P	CT/ISA/220			VAL SEARCHING AUT				
	•				PCT Rule 43bis.1)	-			
	•			(1	-C Rule 430/5.1)				
				Date of mailing					
i				(day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applic	cant's or agent's file r	eference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION				
	form PCT/ISA/22			See paragraph 2 belo					
	national application N UP2004/004329	0.	International filing date (26.03.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27.03.2003				
Interr	national Patent Class	ification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC					
	D1/32, B05B13/0		·	,					
Appli	cant								
10H	NDA MOTOR CO	D., LTD.		•					
						·			
1.	This opinion co	ntains indicati Basis of the o	ons relating to the fol	llowing items:		•			
	Box No. Ⅱ	Priority	•	•					
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
		Lack of unity of invention							
	☐ Box No. IV								
	☐ Box No. IV 図 Box No. V	Reasoned sta	tement under Rule 43b	is.1(a)(i) with regard to	o novelty, inventive step or indu				
	_	Reasoned sta	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation	is.1(a)(i) with regard to	o novelty, inventive step or indu				
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain docum	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation	is.1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or indu				
	Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII	Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation nents cited	is.1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such sta oplication	o novelty, inventive step or indu	strial			
2.	Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII	Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain obser	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation nents cited is in the international ap	is.1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such sta oplication onal application	o novelty, inventive step or industrement	strial DO			
2.	Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT	Reasoned sta applicability; o Certain docun Certain defect Certain obser	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> . itations and explanation nents cited ts in the international ap vations on the internatio	is.1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such sta oplication onal application	o novelty, inventive step or industrement	strial DO			
2.	Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i	Reasoned sta applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain obsers ION International profit the Internation coses an Authoreau under Rule	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation nents cited its in the international apvations on the international eliminary examination is nal Preliminary Examinity other than this one	is.1(a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state oplication on all application are made, this opinion with the better the lPEA and the light of the	o novelty, inventive step or industrement	strial DO			
2.	Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so co	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents of Certain observations of the Internation obses an Authoreau under Rulensidered. The As written regardate of mailing applicability of the Internation obsess an Authoreau under Rulensidered.	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation nents cited as in the international approximations on the international eliminary examination is nal Preliminary Examiniority other than this one 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written pove, considered to be approximation of the coverage of the exploration of t	is.1(a)(i) with regard to as supporting such state oplication onal application a made, this opinion wing Authority ("IPEA"). to be the IPEA and the opinions of this Interress written opinion of the repristence with amendments.	o novelty, inventive step or industrement 2005. 1. 27 344 iill usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply we chosen IPEA has notified the	strial O O o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o			
2.	Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so co. If this opinion is, submit to the IP months from the	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents of Certain observations. Certain observations observations observations of the Internation observations observations observations of Certain observations observat	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation nents cited as in the international approximations on the international approximation is neal Preliminary examination is neal Preliminary Examination of the 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written cove, considered to be a poly together, where approximation of Form PCT/ISA/220 of the considered to be a poly together.	is.1(a)(i) with regard to as supporting such state oplication onal application a made, this opinion wing Authority ("IPEA"). to be the IPEA and the opinions of this Interress written opinion of the repristence with amendments.	o novelty, inventive step or industrement 2005. 1. 27 34	strial O O vhere			
	Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant challend international Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IP months from the whichever expired.	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents of Certain obsersions. Certain obsersions of the International professions an Authoreau under Rulensidered. As provided at EA a written repertate of mailing estater.	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> citations and explanation nents cited as in the international approximation on the international approximation is the preliminary examination is the following form than this one as 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written cove, considered to be a bly together, where approximation of Form PCT/ISA/220 of PCT/ISA/220.	is.1(a)(i) with regard to as supporting such state oplication onal application a made, this opinion wing Authority ("IPEA"). to be the IPEA and the opinions of this Interress written opinion of the repristence with amendments.	o novelty, inventive step or industrement 2005. 1. 27 34	strial O O vhere			
2.	Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant challend international Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IP months from the whichever expired.	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents of Certain obsersions. Certain obsersions of the International professions an Authoreau under Rulensidered. As provided at EA a written repertate of mailing estater.	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation nents cited as in the international approximations on the international approximation is neal Preliminary examination is neal Preliminary Examination of the 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written cove, considered to be a poly together, where approximation of Form PCT/ISA/220 of the considered to be a poly together.	is.1(a)(i) with regard to as supporting such state oplication onal application a made, this opinion wing Authority ("IPEA"). to be the IPEA and the opinions of this Interress written opinion of the repristence with amendments.	o novelty, inventive step or industrement 2005. 1. 27 34	strial O O vhere			
	Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant challend international Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IP months from the whichever expired.	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents of Certain obsersions. Certain obsersions of the International professions an Authoreau under Rulensidered. As provided at EA a written repertate of mailing estater.	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> citations and explanation nents cited as in the international approximation on the international approximation is the preliminary examination is the following form than this one as 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written cove, considered to be a bly together, where approximation of Form PCT/ISA/220 of PCT/ISA/220.	is.1(a)(i) with regard to as supporting such state oplication onal application a made, this opinion wing Authority ("IPEA"). to be the IPEA and the opinions of this Interress written opinion of the repristence with amendments.	o novelty, inventive step or industrement 2005. 1. 27 34	strial O O vhere			
	Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant challend international Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IP months from the whichever expired.	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents of Certain obsersions. Certain obsersions of the International professions an Authoreau under Rulensidered. As provided at EA a written repertate of mailing estater.	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> citations and explanation nents cited as in the international approximation on the international approximation is the preliminary examination is the following form than this one as 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written cove, considered to be a bly together, where approximation of Form PCT/ISA/220 of PCT/ISA/220.	is.1(a)(i) with regard to as supporting such state oplication onal application a made, this opinion wing Authority ("IPEA"). to be the IPEA and the opinions of this Interress written opinion of the repristence with amendments.	o novelty, inventive step or industrement 2005. 1. 27 34	strial O O vhere			

Brévier, F

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2816

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl . Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

10/550832

JC09 Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 SEP 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/004329

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion	:
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	١
	a. type of material:	ì
	☐ a sequence listing	
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material:	
	☐ in written format	٠.
	☐ in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing:	
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.	
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	: •

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/004329

	x No. II	Priority				
ı. 🛛	The follo	wing document ha	s not beer	n furnished	:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	⊠ (copy of the earlier	application	whose pri	ority has been claimed (Rule	43 bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
÷	□ t	ranslation of the e	arlier appli	cation who	se priority has been claimed	(Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).
v	Consequence neverthe	uently it has not be eless been establis	en possib hed on the	le to conside assumpti	ler the validity of the priority on that the relevant date is t	claim. This opinion has ne claimed priority date.
2. 🗆	has bee	nion has been esta n found invalid (Ru e indicated above	ıles 43 <i>bis</i> .	1 and 64.1	ity had been claimed due to). Thus for the purposes of the he relevant date.	the fact that the priority clain nis opinion, the international
3. Ac	dditional ob	oservations, if nece	essary:		•	
Bo in	ox No. V dustrial a	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to no	ovelty, inventive step or
		ppiicabiiity, citati	uns and e	vbigiigrio.	ns supporting such statem	ent
1. St	atement	ррпсавшу, спап	ons and e	хринист	ns supporting such statem	ent
	atement	ррпсавину, спан	Yes: No:		5, 6, 8-10, 16, 19 1-4, 7, 11-15, 17, 18, 20	ent
No	•		Yes: No:	Claims	5, 6, 8-10, 16, 19	ent

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 - D1: DE 199 36 790 A (NORDSON CORP WESTLAKE) 15 February 2001
 - D2: DE 198 54 760 A (AUDI NSU AUTO UNION AG) 31 May 2000
 - D3: US 5 645 895 A (IIYAMA KAZUTO ET AL) 8 July 1997
 - D4: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2000, no. 21, 3 August 2001 (2001-08-03) &; JP 2001 089697 A (RINREI:KK; HONDA MOTOR CO LTD), 3 April 2001 (2001-04-03)

INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 AND 11

- The subject-matter of claims 1 and 11 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, and therefore the criteria of Article 33(2) PCT are not met.
- 2.1 Document D1 discloses (see the passages quoted in the search report):

 A method of forming a protective layer, comprising the steps of:
 applying liquid material to an object from a sprayer mechanism of a coating device; and
 drying said liquid material to form a peelable protective layer,
 wherein said sprayer mechanism sprays said liquid material such that said liquid material is distributed locally at a position close to an edge of said object, and distributed widely at a position away from said edge of said object.
- 2.2 As can be seen from the above, document D1 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 1. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 2.3 Furthermore document D1 also discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 11 (see the passages quoted in the search report). Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- The reasoning of points 2.1 to 2.3 hereabove applies equally to the document D2 (see the passages of D2 quoted in the search report).

DEPENDENT CLAIMS

- Dependent claims 2-4, 7, 12-15, 17, 18, 20 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty (Article 33(2) PCT) (see the passages of D1 and D2 quoted in the search report).
- 4.2 Dependent claims 10, 16, 19 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

Claim 10:

It is well know practice to use a peelable coating comprising an acrylic copolymer as a main component (e.g. see D4).

Claim 16:

The terms "large" and "small" used in claim 16 are so vague that they can be interpreted in a very broad way, whereby they do not clearly define any technical features relating to the intervals between the middle sprayers.

Therefore, the only additional feature of claim 16 is the multiplicity of middle sprayers, which is a well known practice in the field of spraying with robots (e.g. see D3).

Claim 19:

A similar reasoning applies to claim 19 where the features relating to the "pressure" in the multiple middle sprayers relate to a method of use of the apparatus and do not clearly define further the apparatus in terms of its technical features.

4.3 The combinations of the features of dependent claims 5, 6, 8, 9 are new and inventive since they are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the cited prior art.